



# Growing Forward

## Beneficial Management Practices 2009/2010 Policy Statements

Effective from June 2009 – subject to periodic updates

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
General	1	<b>Date:</b> January 1, 2004 is to be the date for all BMPs where relevant. Farm operations must have been in existence on or before January 1 <sup>st</sup> 2004. Funding is not designed to incent a change in enterprise type. The On-Farm Action funding is designated to make necessary changes to existing operations or practices that are impacting water quality, quantity or climate change. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005) (MAL April 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>Funding of partial projects:</b> Funding for partial projects are eligible only when the partial projects, on their own, achieve significant environmental risk reduction <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	3	<b>New equipment:</b> Provide funding for the environmental enhancing components of new equipment. This should be based on a technical review and cost estimates by appropriate experts. <i>(WG ratification December 14, 04)</i>	
	4	<b>Stacking:</b> Program policy is that the maximum amount of government funds for a project is not to exceed 75% of the total project costs.  Where funding is provided by a government agency and the agency deems that the funding is not from government (e.g. DFO use of funds originating in the United States), a letter from the agency confirming the source of funds must accompany the request for payment. <i>(AAFC September, 2005)</i> <i>(WG ratification October, 2005)</i>	According to the application, a portion of the project funds are being provided by <i>[insert agency or level of government]</i> . The application further states that these funds are not from Canadian government sources. A letter from <i>[insert agency or level of government]</i> must accompany your request for payment indicating the source of these funds.
	5	<b>In-kind:</b> One of the purposes of allowing in-kind contributions to cover a portion of the project costs is to reduce the cash costs for the applicant and the cost to the program. Wherever possible, projects should comply with this principle. <i>(WG ratification October, 2005)</i>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
	6	<p><b>In-kind or on-hand materials</b> are not eligible. All materials must have a paid receipt dated within the timeframe of the approved project. <i>(ARC ratification May, 2009)</i></p>	
	7	<p><b>Access to the BMP Program:</b> Access will be based on an EFP that is less than 5 years old and reflects the current farm or ranch operations. IF the EFP is more than 5 years old or there have been significant changes on the farm or ranch operation – the EFP must be renewed however this does not imply that the farm operation will be able to access On-Farm Action program dollars nor does it imply the farm cap will be reset. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	
	8	<p><b>Caps:</b> <b>Overall Farm cap</b> for the BMP program is \$70K for any farm that has or is participating in the Canada-BC Environmental Farm Plan program. Those operations that participated in the BMP program under APF or the Continuity Year Agreements which have not reached the \$70K farm cap can continue to access the program until such time their cap has been met. <b>Caps and cost shares for BMP Categories</b> have been set as of May 12, 2009 and are valid for the 2009/10 BMP, however, are subject to revision. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	
	9	<p><b>Project Initiation:</b> Projects will be considered ineligible for funding if any portion has been initiated prior to approval. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	
	10	<p><b>Project completion:</b> All projects must be complete prior to December 31<sup>st</sup> of the year they are approved. Approval of projects will be based in the assumption that they will be complete by the end of the calendar year unless clearly specified in the BMP program category (i.e.; a pre-approved 3 year span for an approved riparian or shelterbelt planting). Work and invoices must be completed and dated December 31<sup>st</sup> unless written consent by the program administration is given to carry on with project work beyond that date. Carrying will only be considered in extenuating circumstances (i.e.; delays such as result of regulatory approval). <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	
	11	<p><b>Evidence of payment:</b> The program requires a paid invoice, cancelled cheque with invoice, or credit card transaction as evidence of payment. <i>(ARC ratification May, 2009)</i></p>	
	12	<p><b>Cancelled Projects:</b> Any projects cancelled by applicant or time deadline are considered cancelled. Any materials or services obtained for a cancelled project are not eligible for payment if a new application is received at a later date. By previously starting a project, it does not mean it is ineligible for further consideration under a new application. However, all costs incurred for materials or services under the previous application will be ineligible for funding under the new application. <i>(ARC ratification May, 2009)</i></p>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
	13	<b>Number of BMP Applications:</b> Individual farm operations are limited to two eligible BMP applications per year. Management plan BMP 's required for other BMP applications are not included in this total. <i>(Clarification of previous programming policy June2009)</i>	
1	1	<b>Engineering:</b> Manure storage structures are to be designed by a Professional Engineer. Exemptions for low risk projects are at the discretion of the approval process. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	The approval is subject to the structure being designed by a Professional Engineer. Your request for payment must be accompanied by a letter from the Professional Engineer indicating that the structure was built as designed.
	2	<b>Structures below barns:</b> For structures built below barns; a portion of the cost of the roof is eligible if a roof is deemed necessary to meet the minimum storage requirement for the program. The maximum eligible cost for the roof will be the equivalent of the cost of a roof over a similar sized storage structure that is not below the barn. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	3	<b>Nutrient Management Plan:</b> A NMP is now a prerequisite under this category. <i>(WG ratification May 2009)</i>	
	4	<b>Divulge report findings:</b> For those plans that cover the costs of manure, compost or soil testing, MAL requires the analytical values (N, P, K, etc.), the lab name and/or method, the farm operation type, the manure state (liquid or solid) and the regional district be provided. <i>(MAL June, 2009)</i>	
	5		
2	1	<b>Anaerobic digestors:</b> (Formerly practice code 203, now 201). Funding for this practice is to be focused on consultancy costs for feasibility studies, generation of construction and design plans, tendering processes, permitting and final economic calculations. If, after using funds for these purposes, the cap has not been reached, funds can be used to assist with construction costs. <i>(WG ratification February 15, 2006)</i>	
	2	<b>Nutrient Management Plan:</b> A NMP is now a prerequisite under this category. <b>See also 1, 4</b> <i>(Policy change May 2009)</i>	
3	1	<b>Solid manure spreaders:</b> Up to \$10,000 (\$3,000 from the program) can be provided for the additional costs of cyclone spreading attachments. <i>(Cost share increase May 2009).</i> Other types of spreaders will be reviewed, on a case by case basis, to determine components that can be funded from the program. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	2	<b>Nutrient Management Plan:</b> A NMP is now a prerequisite under this category. <b>See also 1, 4</b> <i>(Policy change May 2009)</i>	
5	1	<b>Gutters and downspouts:</b> Gutters and downspouts for farm buildings are not eligible for funding. These are considered to be conventional building components that are the responsibility of	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
		producers. <i>(WG ratification October, 2005)</i>	
6	1	<b>Groundwater Protection:</b> Proximity to sensitive groundwater is not a rationale for use of this BMP. Funding is to be used to move operations away from sensitive surface water, riparian areas, critical wildlife habitat and areas of severe erosion potential. <i>(WG ratification February 15, 2006)</i>	
	2	<b>Decommissions site:</b> The site from which the relocation has occurred must remain under the control of the project applicant once the relocation has occurred. <i>(Policy clarification June 2009)</i>	
	3	<b>Category 6 will only be offered in up to 2010-11 program year</b>	
7	1	<b>Field access improvements:</b> alleyway/access lane upgrades. All other practice codes deleted as they are available in other categories. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
8	1	<b>Worker sanitation facilities:</b> These facilities are not eligible for funding assistance under the program. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	2	<b>Poultry mortality incinerators:</b> These are eligible as long as the incinerator uses Best Available Technology and meets appropriate air emission standards. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	Ensure that the specifications for the incinerator will result in air emissions meeting appropriate air emission standards.
	3	<b>Fertilizer storage:</b> All types of structures are eligible for funding assistance with a limit being placed on the area of the structure based on the type(s) of crop and area being farmed. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i> The maximum area of the structure is the lesser of 1.86 m <sup>2</sup> (20 ft <sup>2</sup> ) per pallet or 0.93 m <sup>2</sup> (10ft <sup>2</sup> ) per hectare of land receiving the fertilizer. <i>(WG ratification October, 2005)</i>	
	4	<b>On-farm processing and marketing:</b> These are considered farm operations where the majority of the material being processed or marketed is produced on the farm or the majority of the output of the processing operation is used on the farm. Waste management from these activities is eligible for funding. Where wastes are not agricultural wastes, the farm must ensure that appropriate authorizations for disposal have been obtained. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	5	<b>Mulching mowers:</b> Heavy duty mulching mowers for dealing with orchard prunings are eligible for incremental assistance. The maximum project cost is \$4,500 (\$1,350 from the program). This is based on the difference between a conventional mower and a mulching mower. (Based on technical evaluation by MAL). <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	6	<b>Fuel storage:</b> The program supports the cost of providing a roof and containment for a single walled tank or the cost of a double walled (enviro) tank. The program will not provide a roof and containment of a double walled tank. The cost of a concrete slab and bollards for enviro-tanks are eligible items. Spill kits and fire	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
		<p>extinguishers are eligible items. <i>(WG ratification October, 2005)</i></p> <p>Fuel storage structures should be designed with sufficient roof overhang and partial walls to minimize water collecting in the containment structure. <i>(WG ratification June, 2006)</i></p>	
	7	<p><b>Silage storage:</b> Funding assistance can be provided to modify an existing facility or build a new facility where the existing facility (in place on or before January 1, 2004) is not adequate from an environmental protection perspective. Existing facilities include pit silos and free standing bunkers silos in addition to other types of constructed silos. <i>(WG ratification May, 2007)</i></p>	
9	1		
10	1	<p><b>Water Supply:</b> Practice Code 1001 includes the construction of wells, dugouts and spring development as eligible costs. <i>(Policy clarification June 2009)</i></p>	
	2	<p><b>Cross fencing:</b> Eligibility for funding must be based on a plan (Riparian Management Plan, Grazing Management Plan or Biodiversity Enhancement Plan) that identifies the importance and value of cross fencing in dealing with identified environmental risks and achieving environmental benefits. <i>(WG ratification June, 2006)</i></p>	<p>Eligibility for funding for the type of fencing proposed in your application must be based on a plan (Riparian Management Plan, Grazing Management Plan or Biodiversity Enhancement Plan) that identifies the importance and value of cross fencing in dealing with identified environmental risks and achieving environmental benefits. You are encouraged to contact your Planning Advisor to assist you with preparing such a plan.</p>
	3	<p><b>Fencing costs:</b> The maximum amount of eligible costs for materials, labour and equipment is \$20.00 (\$12.00 from the program) per metre or \$6.00 (\$3.60 from the program) per foot.</p>	<p>The maximum amount of eligible costs for materials, labour and equipment is \$20.00 (\$12.00 from the program) per meter or \$6.00 (\$3.60 from the program) per foot.</p>
	4	<p><b>Riparian Management Plan:</b> A RMP is now a prerequisite for BMP categories: 1001, 1002, 1003, and 1006. <b>See also 1,4</b> <i>(Policy change May 2009)</i></p>	
	5	<p><b>Grazing Management Plan:</b> A GMP may also be required for bmp 1003, 1005 &amp; 1007. <b>See also 1,4</b> <i>(Policy change May 2009)</i></p>	
	6	<p><b>Category Cap:</b> The cap for BMP Category 10 for an individual project is \$20K at 60% cost share. An individual project may be made up of any combination of 1 to 6 practices codes (1001 to 1006). For situations where multiple project sites (as determined by the riparian health assessment) exist on an individual stream or wetland or property, these should be considered as an individual project application. Where sites are not contiguous on the same stream, wetland or farm/ranch property or are extensive in scope, it may be more appropriate to split the projects into two separate</p>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
		applications. <i>(Policy clarification June 2009)</i>	
11	1	<b>Constructed Works:</b> Eligible expenses will include site assessment, engineering design and materials, labour, and equipment rental associated with earthwork, installation of structures, and re-vegetation work in addition to the constructed works.	
	2	<b>All Projects</b> must be properly designed by an accredited engineering consultant or a qualified professional in accordance with federal provincial and local government regulations and specifications. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
14		<i>Category 14 dropped – Practice code 3305 replaces</i>	
16	1	<b>Common pest management practices:</b> Pest management practices common to the industry and well understood will not be funded. <i>(WG ratification March 3, 05)</i>	It is the policy of the Working Group that, pest management practices common to the industry and well understood, will not be funded.
	2	<b>IPM practices:</b> Where the uses of IPM practices are new to the producer, one year of funding can be provided to assist producers to become familiar with these techniques in accordance with an IPM plan. An IPM Plan must be in place. <i>(WG ratification March 3, 05)</i>	
	3	<b>Non-wildlife pests:</b> Funding will not be provided to assist with the control of non-wildlife, pest species that are commonly found on farms and ranches in British Columbia. <i>(WG ratification March 3, 05)</i>	It is the policy of the Working Group that funding will not be provided to assist with the control of non-wildlife, pest species that are commonly found on farms and ranches in British Columbia.
	4	<b>Tower sprayers:</b> Up to \$5,000 (\$1,500 from the program) can be provided for the additional incremental costs of converting an existing sprayer into a tower sprayer or of purchasing a new tower sprayer (based on technical evaluation by MAL). <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	Program policy limits the eligible costs for converting an existing sprayer into a tower sprayer or of purchasing a new tower sprayer to \$5,000 (\$1,500 from the program).
	5	<b>Tillage equipment:</b> The incremental costs to modify conventional tillage equipment for the purposes for reducing pesticide are eligible for funding. <i>(WG ratification February 15, 2006)</i>	
	6	<b>Orchard tillage equipment:</b> 50% of the value of a "Weed Badger" or like piece of equipment will be eligible for funding to a maximum of \$1200 from the program. <i>(WG ratification June, 2006)</i>	
	7	<b>P. ramorum:</b> Any projects related to control of P. ramorum must be based on a revised or new Integrated Pest Management Plan that deals with all pest issues on the farm. <i>(WG ratification June, 2006)</i>	
	8	<b>Implementing practices from a revised Integrated Pest Management plan:</b> One year of funding can be provided to assist producers to become familiar with new IPM practices identified in a revised IPM plan. <i>(WG ratification August 31, 2006)</i>	
17	1	<b>Nutrient management plan:</b> required for select sectors. <i>(WG Ratification June, 2009)</i>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
18	1	<b>Irrigation efficiency:</b> An efficiency increase of 15% must be identified in the certified plan. <i>(Policy change June, 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>Labour and installation:</b> In-kind services by applicant and installation costs of equipment are not eligible. <i>(Policy change June, 2009)</i>	
	3	<b>Irrigation design:</b> All eligible systems are to be based on a Certified Irrigation Plan. (1801 & 1803) (Based on a technical evaluation by MAL and Policy Committee approval) <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	
	4	<b>Irrigation equipment:</b> Mainline and sub main piping; wires and posts for hanging drip irrigation lines; are not eligible. Pumps, electrical panels and supplies are eligible under practice code 1803. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
	5	<b>Farm use change:</b> Changes in farm use are deemed to be normal farm evolution. Irrigation upgrades associated with these changes are therefore eligible for funding assistance under the program provided they meet all other relevant irrigation requirements of the program. New systems are not eligible. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i> <i>(MAL June 2009)</i>	
	6	<b>Eligible technology:</b> Conversions from one type of eligible irrigation technology to another type of eligible irrigation technology are eligible for assistance under the program provided they meet all other relevant irrigation requirements of the program. <i>(WG ratification June 16, 2005)</i>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
	7	<p><b>Pre-existing irrigation systems:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The pre-existing irrigation system must have been in place on or before January 1, 2004.</li> <li>• The pre-existing irrigation system must have been: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ a sprinkler system – hand move, solid set or stationary gun, or</li> <li>○ a traveling gun, or</li> <li>○ a designed flood system, and</li> <li>○ capable of meeting water demand based on climate, crop and soils.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Ineligible systems include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ garden hoses, or</li> <li>○ buckets, or</li> <li>○ systems for areas not previously irrigated by the pre-existing system.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Evidence of a pre-existing system that must be observed by the Planning Advisor include one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ old system in place, or</li> <li>○ irrigation infrastructure on farm, or</li> <li>○ irrigation plan for previous system, or</li> <li>○ photos of previous system, or</li> <li>○ water bills from use of previous system, or</li> <li>○ pump system in place, or</li> <li>○ invoices for previous system.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The BMP application description needs to include information about the pre-existing system.</li> </ul> <p><i>(WG ratification August 31, 2006)</i></p>	
	8	<p><b>Climate stations or improved irrigation management:</b> Labour is not eligible expense.</p> <p><i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	
	9	<p><b>BMP overall cap:</b> The entire category is capped to \$500K - \$150K for Lower Mainland and Vancouver Island and \$350K for BC Interior.</p> <p><b>Project cap</b> is decreased to \$5K (1801, 1803) and \$2K (1802).</p> <p><i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	
	10	<p>Practice Codes 1801, 1802 or 1803 could be combined in a single project application.</p> <p><i>(Policy clarification June 2009)</i></p>	
19	1	<p><b>Establishment of shelterbelts:</b> The desired outcome of the shelterbelt must be identified in the plan and reflected in the proposed design and species mix.</p> <p>Shelterbelts must be multi rows – two row minimum.</p> <p><i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i></p>	<p>Prior to designing your shelterbelt (including the selection of species to plant) please refer to the Landscape Buffer Specifications document published by the Agricultural Land Commission at: <a href="http://www.alc.gov.bc.ca/publications/buffer/lbs_main.htm">http://www.alc.gov.bc.ca/publications/buffer/lbs_main.htm</a>. and the Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration shelterbelt publications at: <a href="http://www.agr.gc.ca/pfra/shelterbelt/publications_e.htm">http://www.agr.gc.ca/pfra/shelterbelt/publications_e.htm</a></p>

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
	2	<b>In-kind for shelterbelts:</b> In-kind valuation for establishing shelterbelts to be 100% of materials cost to a maximum of \$5.00 per metre (\$1.50 per foot) of shelterbelt. <i>(WG ratification May, 2007)</i>	Program policy limits the value of the in-kind contribution to \$5 per meter (\$1.50 per foot) shelterbelt. The approved amount of your project has been adjusted accordingly.
	3	<b>Establishment of buffers and hedgerows:</b> The desired outcome of the shelterbelt must be identified in the plan and reflected in the proposed design and species mix. Buffers and hedgerows can be single or multi row. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
	4	<b>In-kind for buffers, hedgerows:</b> In-kind valuation for establishing buffers and hedgerows to be 100% of materials costs to a maximum of \$5 per meter (\$1.50 per foot) of buffer or hedgerow. <i>(MAL based on WG ratification May, 2009)</i>	Program policy limits the value of the in-kind contribution to \$5 per meter (\$1.50 per foot) of buffer or hedgerow. The approved amount of your project has been adjusted accordingly.
	5	<b>Materials for buffers, hedgerows and shelterbelts:</b> Planting materials and design recommendations for these installations must meet the criteria provided by BC MAL. <i>(Policy clarification June, 2009)</i>	
24	1	<b>Consultative services to develop nutrient management plans, planning and decisions support tools.</b> Maximum of \$250 can be used for one time manure, soil or compost analysis as part of the eligible costs of the NMP (on the condition that the raw nutrient data – free of farm identification- will be collected for environmental health indicators reporting purposes). Producers may be eligible for a second NMP at 50% of the original BMP funding in a subsequent year subject to sign off of adequate record keeping on the original plan and availability of funds. <i>(WG ratification, June, 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>Funding:</b> 100% for first \$1000, then 50% for the remainder up to a maximum of \$2000 to the program. <i>(WG ratification, June, 2009)</i>	
	3	<b>Planning for other BMP:</b> When a Nutrient Management plan (or other plan that is funded bmp) is required in order for another BMP to be carried out, the planning BMP will not be considered as one of the two BMP's. The producer pays the consultant and submits a claim for the costs. <i>(WG ratification, June, 2009)</i>	
	4	<b>Divulge report findings:</b> For those plans that cover the costs of manure, compost or soil testing, MAL requires the analytical values (N, P, K, etc.), the lab name and/or method, the farm operation, the manure state (liquid or solid) and the regional district. <i>(MAL June, 2009)</i>	
	5	<b>Consultant Qualifications:</b> Producers and Planning Advisors to be provided with information on the desired qualifications of planning consultants. <i>(WG ratification March 3, 2005)</i> See document "Desired Qualifications for Consultants" for details. <i>(Updated June, 2009)</i>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
25	1	<b>Revision of existing IPM plans:</b> Funding can be provided for revision of existing plans to deal with a pest issue that was not present when the existing plan was prepared. <i>(WG ratification August 31, 2006)</i>	
	2	<b>Consultant Qualifications:</b> see 24, 5	
26	1	<b>Consultant Qualifications:</b> see 24, 5	
	2	<b>Funding:</b> 100% for first \$1000, then 50% for the remainder up to a maximum of \$2000 to the program <i>(Policy Change May, 2009)</i>	
29	1	<b>Consultative services for preparing certified irrigation plans.</b> Site investigation, plan and quote preparation. Site inspection upon job completion. Certified designer must sign and seal each plan for project to be eligible. Certified designer must inspect project after completion and send a signed completion form to the program before payment for plan preparation and project costs are made. An invoice from the Certified Irrigation Designer must be submitted to produce outlining services.	You are required to have a site inspection upon job completion. A certified designer must sign and seal each plan for project to be eligible. The certified designer must inspect project after completion and send a signed completion form to the program before payment for plan preparation and project costs are made. An invoice from the Certified Irrigation Designer must be submitted to produce outlining services.
	2	<b>Consultant Qualifications:</b> see 24, 5	
	3	<b>Funding:</b> 100% for first \$500, then 50% for the remainder up to a maximum of \$1000 to the program <i>(Policy Change May, 2009)</i>	
30	1	<b>Consultant fees to complete a Riparian Health Assessment or a combination of the Riparian Health Assessment and the Riparian Area Management Plan.</b> Riparian Area Management Plan consists of the RHA action plan, the design (layout, species and maintenance protocols) and implementation of the BMP project application. <b>Funding:</b> 100% for first \$1000, then 50% for the remainder up to a maximum of \$3000 to the program. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>Consultant Qualifications:</b> see 24, 5	
31	1	<b>Practice codes 3101-2 and 3101-2 are not stackable:</b> applicants may only apply for one or the other sub-category. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>BMP overall cap:</b> Projects in 3101-2 are capped to a total of \$280K. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
32	1	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O emission reduction:</b> Projects must reduce nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural operations by prevention or suppression. <i>(MAL June, 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>Water management plan is required.</b> Refer to EFP Drainage management guide. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	

Category	Category statement #	Policies	Policy statements in approval/rejection letters
33	1	<b>CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Reduction:</b> Projects must either reduce agricultural carbon dioxide emissions or reduce the concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere or offset carbon dioxide emissions from other sectors. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i>	
	2	<b>A Project Justification letter is required</b> for 3304 -replacement of fossil-fuel dependent space heating with renewable heating <b>and</b> 3307 – Thermal energy efficiency improvements that increase insulation. <i>(WG Ratification June, 2009)</i>	
	3	<b>Equipment for no-tillage/ reduced tillage:</b> 50% of the value of a zero-till drill is eligible for funding assistance. <i>(WG ratification May, 2007)</i>	Program policy is that 50% of the cost of a zero-till drill is eligible for assistance. Of that amount, the program can provide a maximum of 30% of the funding.
	4	<b>Lighting efficiency improvements:</b> A lighting worksheet (supplement) is required. <i>(WG ratification June, 2009)</i> (new versions of the workbook will include this page)	